

# Math 7800 Worksheet #6

Due April 17th in gradescope

## 1.

Suppose that  $\pi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X, \pi' : \widetilde{X}' \rightarrow X$  are two log resolutions of a Noetherian equidimensional scheme  $X$  of finite type and separated over a field of characteristic zero, with reduced exceptional divisors  $F, F'$  respectively. Show that  $\pi_* \omega_{\widetilde{X}}(F) = \pi'_* \omega_{\widetilde{X}'}(F')$ .

*Hint:* Show that we may assume that we may factor our resolutions as follows:  $\pi' : \widetilde{X}' \xrightarrow{\rho} \widetilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ . Notice that we do not necessarily have  $F' = \rho^{-1}(F)_{\text{red}}$  but we do have  $F' \supseteq \rho^{-1}(F)_{\text{red}}$ . Now use the projection formula and the fact that  $\mathcal{J}(\widetilde{X}, (1 - \epsilon)F) \cdot \omega_{\widetilde{X}} = \omega_{\widetilde{X}}$  for  $1 \gg \epsilon > 0$  (which can be deduced either directly, or via reduction to characteristic  $p$ ).

## 2.

Suppose that  $X \subseteq Y$  is a closed embedding of varieties over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero and that  $Y$  is smooth. Further suppose  $X$  is non-singular except at a single closed point  $x \in X$ , that  $\pi : Y' \rightarrow Y$  is an embedded log resolution of singularities of  $X$  which is an isomorphism except over  $x$  and  $E = \pi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$  is a SNC divisor. Further set  $\tilde{X}$  be the strict transform of  $X$ . Prove that there exists an exact triangle in the derived category:

$$\underline{\Omega}_X^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \oplus k(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{E \cap \tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Here you should define  $\underline{\Omega}_X^0 := \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$  where  $\bar{X} = (\pi^{-1}(X))_{\text{red}}$ . In fact, there are a number of generalizations of this when  $x$  is not a point.

*Hint:* Recall that  $\pi^{-1}(X)_{\text{red}} = \bar{X} = \tilde{X} \cup E$  is such that  $\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \underline{\Omega}_X^0$ . Construct a related short exact sequence on  $Y'$  and then push it down. Finally, this is easier if you assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed, so if you are stuck on the general case, assume that.

### 3.

Suppose that  $Z$  is a smooth projective variety in characteristic zero. Then for any ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Z$  and any sufficiently large integer  $m \gg 0$ , we have that the section ring with respect to  $\mathcal{L}^m$ ,

$$S := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{nm}),$$

has Du Bois singularities. In fact, this holds under the weaker hypothesis that  $Z$  has Du Bois singularities even if  $Z$  is not smooth.

*Hint:* Use the previous exercise. Note that if  $x = \text{Spec } S$  and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is the blowup of the cone point with exceptional divisor  $F \cong Z$ , then it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{R}^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^i \mathcal{O}_F$  is an isomorphism for  $i > 0$ . Prove this isomorphism degree by degree (the degree zero piece is the only one which requires real computation).

**4.**

Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain and  $Q \subseteq R$  is a prime ideal. Show that for any prime  $Q^+ \subseteq R^+$  lying over  $Q$  (which necessarily exist since  $R \subseteq R^+$  is integral) we have that

$$R^+/Q^+ \cong (R/Q)^+.$$

5.

Let  $R$  be a domain containing a field of characteristic zero. Prove that  $I^+ = IS \cap R$  where  $S$  is the normalization of  $R$ . (Recall  $I^+ = IR^+ \cap R$ ).

*Hint:* Use the field trace to show that if  $S$  is a normal domain and  $T$  is any module finite extension of  $S$ , then the inclusion  $S \hookrightarrow T$  splits as an  $S$ -module map.

## 6.

Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite Noetherian local domain of prime characteristic  $p$  with system of parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ . Show that an element  $\eta = [z + (x_1, \dots, x_d)] \in H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$  is in the plus closure of zero if and only if  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)^+$ .

I asked this question to both Claude Opus 4.6 and ChatGPT Pro on 4/6/2026. Compare the two responses and decide if they are both correct / which is better.

Here is Claude's response.

We write  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$  and  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . Throughout, we use the Čech cohomology description

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \cong \frac{R_{x_1 \cdots x_d}}{\sum_{i=1}^d R_{x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_d}},$$

under which  $\eta$  corresponds to the class  $\left[ \frac{z}{x_1 \cdots x_d} \right]$ .

*Proof. ( $\Leftarrow$ ):* Suppose  $z \in I^+$ . Then there exists a module-finite extension domain  $R \hookrightarrow S$  with  $z \in IS$ , say  $z = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i x_i$  for some  $s_i \in S$ . Since  $S$  is module-finite over  $R$ , the ideal  $IS$  is  $\mathfrak{m}_S$ -primary, and the Čech complex on  $\underline{x}$  computes  $H_{\mathfrak{m}_S}^d(S)$ . The image of  $\eta$  in  $H_{\mathfrak{m}_S}^d(S)$  is represented by

$$\frac{z}{x_1 \cdots x_d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{s_i x_i}{x_1 \cdots x_d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{s_i}{x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_d} \in \sum_{i=1}^d S_{x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_d}.$$

Hence the class is zero in  $H_{\mathfrak{m}_S}^d(S)$ , so  $\eta \in 0_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)}^+$ .

*( $\Rightarrow$ ):* Suppose  $\eta \in 0_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)}^+$ . Then there exists a module-finite extension domain  $R \hookrightarrow S$  such that

$\left[ \frac{z}{x_1 \cdots x_d} \right] = 0$  in  $H_{\mathfrak{m}_S}^d(S)$ . Vanishing in Čech cohomology gives

$$\frac{z}{x_1 \cdots x_d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{a_i}{(x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_d)^{n_i}}$$

for some  $a_i \in S$  and  $n_i \geq 1$ , as elements of  $S_{x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots x_d}$ . Clearing denominators by multiplying through by a sufficiently high power  $(x_1 \cdots x_d)^N$  (with  $N$  large enough), we obtain a relation in  $S$  of the form

$$z \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{N-1} \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N) S.$$

Now let  $R^+$  denote the absolute integral closure of  $R$  (the integral closure of  $R$  in an algebraic closure of its fraction field). Since  $R$  is an excellent local domain of prime characteristic,  $R^+$  is a big Cohen–Macaulay algebra; that is, every system of parameters for  $R$  is a regular sequence on  $R^+$ . In particular,  $x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N$  is a system of parameters for  $R$ , so it forms a regular sequence on  $R^+$ .

Since  $S$  is a module-finite extension domain of  $R$ , we have  $S \subseteq R^+$ , and thus the relation above holds in  $R^+$ :

$$z \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{N-1} \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N) R^+.$$

Because  $x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N$  is a regular sequence on  $R^+$ , the ideal  $(x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N)R^+$  satisfies the standard monomial property: an element  $r$  satisfies  $r \cdot x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_d^{a_d} \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N)R^+$  with each  $a_i < N$  only if  $r \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N)R^+$  itself. More precisely, since  $x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N$  is a regular sequence on  $R^+$ , the associated graded ring (or equivalently, the Koszul homology) detects membership: by iterating the regular sequence property one variable at a time, we deduce that

$$z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)R^+.$$

**Lemma.** *If  $x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N$  is a regular sequence on a ring  $A$  and  $z \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_d)^{N-1} \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N)A$ , then  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)A$ .*

*Proof of Lemma.* We induct on  $d$ . When  $d = 1$ , the hypothesis gives  $z x_1^{N-1} \in (x_1^N)A$ , i.e.  $x_1^N \mid z x_1^{N-1}$  in  $A$ . Since  $x_1$  is a non-zero-divisor,  $x_1 \mid z$ , so  $z \in (x_1)A$ .

For  $d > 1$ , write  $P = (x_1 \cdots x_{d-1})^{N-1}$ . The hypothesis is

$$z \cdot P \cdot x_d^{N-1} \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N, x_d^N)A.$$

Working modulo  $(x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)A$ , we get

$$z \cdot P \cdot x_d^{N-1} \in (x_d^N)\bar{A},$$

where  $\bar{A} = A/(x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)A$ . Since  $x_1^N, \dots, x_d^N$  is a regular sequence,  $x_d^N$  (hence also  $x_d$ ) is a non-zero-divisor on  $\bar{A}$ . Thus  $x_d \mid z \cdot P$  in  $\bar{A}$ , which iterated  $N - 1$  times gives  $x_d^{N-1} \mid z \cdot P$  in  $\bar{A}$ . That is,

$$z \cdot P = x_d^{N-1} w + q, \quad q \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)A$$

for some  $w \in A$ . Substituting back into the original relation shows  $x_d^{N-1}(zP - x_d^{N-1}w) \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)A$ , but this is exactly  $x_d^{N-1}q' \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)A$  for suitable  $q'$ . Working modulo  $x_d$ , we see  $zP \in (x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N, x_d)A$ .

Since  $x_d$  is regular on  $A/(x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)$ , we can rearrange: write  $zP = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i x_i^N + b x_d$  for some  $a_i, b \in A$ . Now  $x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N$  is a regular sequence on  $A/x_d A$  (by permutability of regular sequences), and  $z \cdot P \equiv 0 \pmod{(x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N)}$  in  $A/x_d A$ . By the inductive hypothesis applied to  $A/x_d A$  (with the regular sequence  $x_1^N, \dots, x_{d-1}^N$ ), we obtain  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d)A$ .  $\square$

Applying the lemma with  $A = R^+$ , we conclude  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)R^+ = IR^+$ . Since  $R^+$  is the directed union of all module-finite extension domains  $S$  of  $R$ , the relation  $z \in IR^+$  must already hold in some module-finite extension domain  $S'$ . That is,  $z \in IS'$  with  $R \hookrightarrow S'$  a module-finite extension of domains, which gives  $z \in I^+$ .  $\square$

Here is ChatGPT's answer.

Let  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  and  $A = R^+$ . Since  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \cong H_I^d(R).$$

By definition,

$$0_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)}^+ = \ker(H_I^d(R) \rightarrow H_I^d(A)).$$

Also,

$$H_I^d(A) \cong \varinjlim_n A/(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)A,$$

where the transition maps are multiplication by  $x_1 \cdots x_d$ . Hence the image of

$$\eta = [z + I] \in H_I^d(R)$$

in  $H_I^d(A)$  is zero if and only if for some  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d)^n z \in (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})A. \quad (*)$$

If  $z \in I^+ = IA \cap R$ , write  $z = \sum_i x_i a_i$  with  $a_i \in A$ . Then

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d)^n z = \sum_i x_i^{n+1} \left( \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^n \right) a_i \in (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})A,$$

so  $(*)$  holds and therefore  $\eta \in 0_{H_m^d(R)}^+$ .

Conversely, assume  $(*)$ . Since  $A = R^+$  is Cohen–Macaulay, every system of parameters on  $R$  is an  $A$ -regular sequence; in particular every permutation of  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is regular on  $A$ . Set

$$u_t = (x_1 \cdots x_t)^n z \quad (0 \leq t \leq d),$$

so  $\nu_d \in (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_d^{n+1})A$  by  $(*)$ . We claim, descending on  $t$ , that

$$\nu_t \in (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_t^{n+1}, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_d)A. \quad (\dagger_t)$$

This is true for  $t = d$ . Suppose  $(\dagger_t)$  holds with  $t \geq 1$ . Mod out by

$$(x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n+1}, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_d)A.$$

In that quotient,  $x_t$  is a nonzerodivisor, and  $(\dagger_t)$  becomes

$$x_t^n \nu_{t-1} \in x_t^{n+1} A.$$

Cancelling  $x_t^n$  gives

$$\nu_{t-1} \in (x_1^{n+1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n+1}, x_t, \dots, x_d)A,$$

which is  $(\dagger_{t-1})$ . Iterating down to  $t = 0$  yields

$$z = \nu_0 \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)A.$$

Since  $z \in R$ , this says

$$z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)A \cap R = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^+.$$

Therefore

$$\eta \in 0_{H_m^d(R)}^+ \iff z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)^+.$$

## 7.

Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local domain and  $0 \neq f \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Show that if  $R/(f)$  is BCM-rational, then  $R$  is also BCM-rational.

*Hint:*  $R$  is certainly Cohen-Macaulay. Next observe that for any balanced big Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -algebra  $B$ , we have that  $R/(f)$  is balanced big Cohen-Macaulay over  $R/(f)$ . Use a strategy similar to the rational case.

**8.**

A domain  $R$  is called a *derived splinter* if for every proper surjective map  $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  we have that  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  splits (in the derived category). Prove that a strongly  $F$ -regular ring is a derived splinter.